

Inflammatory arthritis and malignancy: A consensus report on risk assessment and clinical management based on a systematic review from the Turkish Society of Rheumatology Malignancy Study Group

Türkiye Romatoloji Derneği - Romatoloji ve Malignite Çalışma Grubu enflamatuvar artrit ve malignite: Sistematik derlemeye dayalı risk değerlendirmesi ve klinik yönetim üzerine fikir birliği raporu

● Hakan Babaoğlu¹, ● Emre Tekgöz², ● Pınar Akyüz Dağlı³, ● İbrahim Vasi⁴, ● Bahar Özdemir Ulusoy⁵,
● Dilara Bulut Gökten⁶, ● Reşit Yıldırım⁷, ● Gülşah Soytürk¹, ● Buğu Bulat⁸, ● Özlem Doğan Ağbuğa⁹,
● Gül Güzelant Özköse¹⁰, ● Seda Çolak³, ● Emine Duygu Ersözölü¹¹, ● Nazife Şule Yaşar Bilge⁷, ● Cemal Bes¹⁰,
● Timuçin Kaşifoğlu⁷, ● İhsan Ertenli⁸

¹Ankara Bilkent City Hospital, Clinic of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Ankara, Türkiye

²University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Gülhane Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Ankara, Türkiye

³University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Gülhane Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Ankara, Türkiye

⁴Gazi University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Ankara, Türkiye

⁵Ankara Gaziler Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Ankara, Türkiye

⁶Tekirdağ Namık Kemal University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Tekirdağ, Türkiye

⁷Eskişehir Osmangazi University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Eskişehir, Türkiye

⁸Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Ankara, Türkiye

⁹Van Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Van, Türkiye

¹⁰University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Başakşehir Çam and Sakura City Hospital, Clinic of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, İstanbul, Türkiye

¹¹University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Adana City Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Adana, Türkiye

Abstract

Inflammatory arthritis (IA) is associated with an increased risk for certain malignancies, particularly lymphoma, due to underlying chronic inflammation. Conventional and targeted therapies used in IA modulate the immune system, raising concerns about the development of *de novo* malignancies or the progression of pre-existing ones. Managing IA patients with a history of cancer remains one of the most challenging areas for clinicians, and while international guidelines exist, they generally focus on a narrower scope. This report is the first comprehensive consensus report from Türkiye to address the relationship between IA and malignancy across a wide spectrum, including baseline risk, treatment-related risk, management of patients with a history of cancer, treatment during active malignancy, premalignant lesions, and family history. Based on a systematic literature review, this report provides evidence-based, practical

Özet

Enflamatuvar artrit (İA), altta yatan kronik enflamasyona bağlı özellikle lenfoma başta olmak üzere bazı malignite türleri için bir risk artışı ile ilişkilidir. İA tedavisinde kullanılan konvansiyonel ve hedefe yönelik tedaviler, immün sistemi modüle etmeleri nedeniyle *de novo* malignite gelişimi veya var olan malignitenin seyri konusunda endişeler barındırmaktadır. Kanser öyküsü olan İA hastalarının yönetimi, hekimler için en zorlayıcı alanlardan biridir ve bu konudaki uluslararası rehberler mevcut olsa da genellikle daha dar bir kapsama odaklanmıştır. İA ve malignite ilişkisini; temel risk, tedaviye bağlı risk, kanser öyküsü olan hasta yönetimi, aktif kanser sırasında tedavi, premalign lezyonlar ve aile öyküsü gibi geniş bir yelpazede ele alan ilk kapsamlı Türkiye fikir birliği raporudur. Sistematik literatür taramasına dayalı olarak, mevcut uluslararası rehberlerin daha dar kapsamda ele aldığı; aktif tedavi altında kanser gelişimi, premalign lezyonlar ve aile öyküsü gibi

Correspondence / İletişim:

Hakan Babaoğlu MD, Ankara Bilkent City Hospital, Clinic of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Ankara, Türkiye

E-mail: drhakanbabaoğlu@gmail.com ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0002-3728-0259

Received / Geliş Tarihi: 30.08.2025 Accepted / Kabul Tarihi: 03.09.2025 Epub: 20.10.2025

Cite this article as / Atıf: Tekgöz E, Akyüz Dağlı P, et al. Inflammatory arthritis and malignancy: a consensus report on risk assessment and clinical management based on a systematic review from the Turkish Society of Rheumatology Malignancy Study Group. J Turk Soc Rheumatol. [Epub Ahead of Print]



recommendations for specific scenarios frequently encountered in daily practice—such as cancer development during active treatment, premalignant lesions, and family history—which are often narrowly addressed in existing international guidelines. This report will help rheumatologists standardize decision-making processes regarding the coexistence of IA and malignancy, enabling them to take safer clinical steps. By promoting risk individualization and shared decision-making between patients and clinicians, it will strengthen personalized treatment approaches that ensure both effective control of rheumatic disease and oncologic safety.

Keywords: Inflammatory arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritis, malignancy, cancer, biological therapies, disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs)

Introduction

The management of inflammatory arthritis (IA), including rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and spondyloarthritis (SpA), has been revolutionized over the past two decades with the widespread adoption of disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), especially biologic and targeted synthetic DMARDs (b/tsDMARDs). While these therapies significantly improve patients' quality of life by controlling disease activity, their complex relationship with malignancy is a major concern for both clinicians and patients due to their immunomodulatory effects.

This relationship has two key dimensions. The first is the underlying disease itself. It is well-established that chronic inflammation and autoimmune processes are risk factors for certain types of cancer, particularly malignant lymphomas. The second dimension involves the potential risks of the therapies used to suppress this inflammation. Specifically, b/tsDMARDs have raised theoretical concerns regarding the risk of *de novo* malignancy, as they target critical pathways involved in cancer surveillance, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF), interleukin (IL)-6, and Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/STAT). Elucidating this complex risk profile requires a systematic evaluation of the evidence.

This overall picture raises a series of complex clinical questions in practice. With advancements in cancer treatment and an aging population, the number of IA patients with a history of cancer is steadily increasing. In these patients, balancing the need to treat active rheumatic disease against the concern of cancer recurrence is a primary challenge. Furthermore, there is a significant need for clear, unified guidance on the optimal management of patients who develop malignancy while on active anti-rheumatic therapy, and personalizing treatment choices for individuals with premalignant lesions or a strong family history of cancer.

günlük pratikte sık karşılaşılan spesifik senaryolar için kanıta dayalı pratik öneriler sunar. Sistematik literatür taramasına dayalı olarak, mevcut uluslararası rehberlerin daha dar kapsamda ele aldığı; aktif tedavi altında kanser gelişimi, premalign lezyonlar ve aile öyküsü gibi günlük pratikte sık karşılaşılan spesifik senaryolar için kanıta dayalı pratik öneriler sunar. Bu rapor, romatologların İA ve malignite birlikteliğindeki karar verme süreçlerini standartlaştırmasına ve daha güvenli adımlar atmasına yardımcı olacaktır. Risklerin bireyselleştirilmesi ve hasta ile hekim arasında paylaşılan karar verme süreçlerinin teşvik edilmesi yoluyla, hem romatizmal hastalığın etkin kontrolünü hem de onkolojik güvenliği gözetken kişiselleştirilmiş tedavi yaklaşımlarını güçlendirecektir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Enflamatuvar artrit, romatoid artrit, spondiloartrit, malignite, kanser, biyolojik tedaviler, hastalık modifiye edici antiromatizmal ilaçlar (DMARD)

To address these comprehensive clinical questions and fill existing evidence gaps, a systematic literature review (SLR) was conducted based on pre-defined Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome (PICO) questions. The primary aim of this study is to integrate the evidence obtained from the SLR with the clinical experience of expert rheumatologists, presenting an evidence-based consensus report and practical clinical management recommendations on the broad relationship between IA and malignancy. This report systematically addresses risks stemming from the disease itself, the risk profiles of different treatment options, and the management of patients with a history of cancer and other special circumstances.

Methodology

The objective of this study was to assess the malignancy risk associated with the underlying disease and its treatments in patients with RA and SpA, and also to create an evidence-based consensus report and a set of clinical practice recommendations, particularly for the management of patients with a history of cancer.

1. Formation of the Study Group

A task force was established among rheumatologist members of the Turkish Society of Rheumatology (TSR) to achieve the project's objectives. All participants submitted potential conflict of interest declarations before commencing the process.

2. Definition of PICO Questions and Systematic Literature Review

In its initial meeting, the task force finalized the research questions in the PICO format, which formed the foundation of the project. The study group was divided into subgroups for each PICO question, and these groups shared the findings of their work with the entire task force in regular meetings.

These questions determined the scope and strategy of the SLR. The core research questions examined were:

- **PICO 1:** In individuals with RA (P), is the overall incidence of malignancy (O) higher compared to the general population (C)?

- **PICO 2:** In individuals with SpA [including ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthritis (PsA) subtypes] (P), is the overall incidence of malignancy (O) higher compared to the general population (C)?

- **PICO 3:** In RA patients (P), how does the use of a specific class of DMARD (I) affect the risk of developing *de novo* malignancy (O) compared to another DMARD class or no treatment (C)?

- 3a. Conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARDs)

- 3b. TNF inhibitors

- 3c. Non-TNF biologic DMARDs (bDMARDs)

- 3d. Targeted synthetic DMARDs (tsDMARDs)

- **PICO 4:** In RA patients with a history of malignancy (P), what is the effect of initiating a specific DMARD class (csDMARD, bDMARD, tsDMARD) (I) on malignancy recurrence or the development of a new primary malignancy (O) compared to patients not receiving active treatment or receiving a different DMARD class (C)?

- 4a. In those with a history of solid organ tumors

- 4b. In those with a history of melanoma

- 4c. In those with a history of non-melanoma skin cancer

- 4d. In those with a history of lymphoproliferative disease

- **PICO 5:** In RA patients diagnosed with malignancy during active DMARD (cs/b/tsDMARD) therapy (P), what is the effect of different management strategies for the current anti-rheumatic treatment (I) on the patient's rheumatologic and oncologic survival outcomes (O)?

- **PICO 6:** In RA patients with a known premalignant lesion (P), what is the effect of initiating or continuing a specific DMARD therapy (I) on the risk of the lesion's progression to malignancy (O)?

- **PICO 7:** In RA patients with a strong family history of cancer (e.g., in first-degree relatives) (P), is there an evidence-based approach to optimizing treatment selection among different DMARD classes (I), considering the patient's future malignancy risk (O)?

Data Sources and Search Strategy: A comprehensive literature search was conducted in major medical databases, including PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, and the Cochrane Library. A detailed search strategy was developed using keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms specific to each PICO question.

Study Selection Criteria:

- **Inclusion Criteria:** Randomized controlled trials, observational cohort studies, case-control studies, meta-analyses, and systematic reviews that answered the defined PICO questions were included. To broaden the scope of evidence, studies on other inflammatory diseases where similar treatment mechanisms are used, such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), were also considered.

- **Exclusion Criteria:** Case reports, editorials, expert opinion articles, animal studies, and studies not relevant to the PICO questions were excluded.

The SLR was conducted by the designated subgroups for each PICO question. Data extraction and quality assessment were performed according to a predefined standard protocol. Extracted data included study design, patient population, treatment type, follow-up duration, and outcomes such as cancer incidence, cancer recurrence, hazard ratios, and relative risk.

3. Development of Recommendations and Voting

The SLR results were discussed within the respective PICO subgroups and reported in evidence summary tables. Subsequently, the Task Force convened in online meetings to discuss these data holistically. At the end of this process, draft statements were prepared by each PICO group under the headings "General Principles" and "Specific Recommendations" addressing the PICO questions.

Voting and Consensus: The drafted recommendations were subjected to an anonymous voting process involving all task force members. Each recommendation was rated on a 6-point Likert scale from 0 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree). Recommendations with a mean agreement score of 4.0 or higher were accepted with strong consensus. Items that did not reach sufficient consensus were rediscussed and revoted.

Final Text Approval

The final recommendation text was first reviewed and approved by all members of the task force and subsequently by the members and executive board of the TSR.

Recommendations

This section presents the consensus recommendations reached following the SLR and expert opinion, guided by the PICO questions outlined in the methodology.

Note: The number in parentheses at the end of each recommendation indicates the mean level of agreement on a 0 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree) Likert scale.

Section 1. Inflammatory Arthritis and Baseline Malignancy Risk (PICO 1&2)

This section assesses the impact of the underlying inflammatory rheumatic disease itself on the development of malignancy.

1.1. Rheumatoid Arthritis

• 1.1.1. Overall and Hematologic Risk

An increased risk of cancer development has been identified in RA patients compared to the general population. High and cumulative disease activity is considered the primary driver of the increased risk of lymphoma (4.87).^[1-6]

An overall increased risk of hematologic malignancies has been found in RA patients (4.68).^[2-5,7-16]

Lymphoma is the hematologic malignancy with the most significantly increased risk in this group (4.75).^[2-4,7,9-16]

While there are data suggesting an increased risk of leukemia and multiple myeloma in RA, this evidence is insufficient (4.43).^[3,5,7,8]

• 1.1.2. Solid Organ Risk

It is difficult to establish increased cumulative risk of solid organ malignancy in RA patients, as an increased risk has been observed for some solid organ cancers, while a decreased risk has been seen for others (4.5).

- **Lung Cancer:** This is the solid organ malignancy with the highest observed risk increase in RA patients (4.68).^[2-5,7,17-20] The evidence is insufficient to conclude that RA is an independent risk factor for lung cancer, separate from known factors like interstitial lung disease and smoking (4.5).^[17,18]

- **Colorectal Cancer:** The risk of colorectal cancer in RA is lower than in the general population, and this has been suggested to be associated with the frequent use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (4.62).^[1,5-7,11,16]

- **Other Solid Organs:** RA is not considered a risk factor for gastric, hepatic, biliary tract, pancreatic, or thyroid cancers (4.56).^[2,5,7,16,19] It has also not been associated with the development of breast cancer (4.66).^[2,5,7,21,22] The risk status for urinary tract malignancies remains uncertain (4.56).^[2,5,7,19] Likewise, current data do not provide clear evidence of an increased risk for gynecological malignancies (4.5).^[2,4,5,7] Moreover, there are insufficient data to suggest an increased risk of prostate cancer (4.75).^[2,5,23]

• **1.1.3. Skin Cancer Risk:** The risk of malignant melanoma in RA is uncertain (4.5).^[2,4,5] The current evidence is insufficient to state that RA is a risk factor for other skin cancers (4.62).^[3]

1.2. Spondyloarthritis

• **1.2.1.** While the overall risk of malignancy in SpA

patients does not significantly differ from the general population, some studies have reported an increased risk for certain hematologic malignancies, such as lymphoma and multiple myeloma (4.62).^[24-35]

• **1.2.2.** In PsA patients, the overall risk of solid and hematologic malignancies is not increased (4.56).^[24,27,36-38] However, some studies have reported an increased risk of non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) (4.56).^[27,37,39-42]

• **1.2.3.** Patients with enteropathic arthritis are at an increased risk for gastrointestinal cancers due to the concomitant IBD (4.81).^[43-47]

Section 2. Anti-Rheumatic Therapies and *de novo* Malignancy Risk (PICO 3)

This section examines the effect of anti-rheumatic treatments on malignancy development.

• 2.1. Conventional Synthetic DMARDs (csDMARDs):

The use of csDMARDs does not cause increase in malignancy risk in patients with RA (4.81).^[48,49] Data on its association with lymphoma risk are conflicting (4.18).^[50,51] However, csDMARDs may increase the risk of NMSC (4.43).^[52,53]

• 2.2. Biologic and Targeted Synthetic DMARDs (b/tsDMARDs):

- **Overall Malignancy Risk:** The relationship between TNF inhibitors and malignancy development is controversial, and recent meta-analyses have not confirmed this link. Data for non-TNF biologics are more limited, but no significant risk increase has been reported (4.5).^[2,54-58]

- **JAK Inhibitors:** The ORAL surveillance trial found that tofacitinib use in high-risk RA patients increased the risk of malignancy, particularly lung cancer (4.68).^[59] The FDA considers this risk increase as a class effect for other JAK inhibitors. However, other studies comparing bDMARDs with JAK inhibitors have not observed an overall increase in solid organ malignancy risk (4.31).^[60,61]

- **Lymphoma Risk:** There is some evidence suggesting that bDMARD use may be associated with a slight increase in lymphoma risk, possibly due to the underlying high disease activity (4.31).^[62-65]

Section 3. Treatment Management in Patients with a History of Malignancy (PICO 4)

This section addresses the safety of anti-rheumatic treatment options in patients previously diagnosed with cancer.

• **3.1. History of Solid Organ Tumor:** Current evidence indicates that methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine, TNFi, and non-TNFi bDMARDs such as tocilizumab and rituximab do not increase the risk of

recurrence (4.75, 4.5, 4.5).^[56,66-77] Therefore, patients with a history of malignancy may be treated in a manner similar to those without such a history, provided that an individualized assessment is conducted and, when necessary, collaboration with oncology is ensured (4.18).^[78] For JAK inhibitors, there are insufficient data in this population (4.5).^[66,69,74] Although data are limited, given its mechanism of action, abatacept should be used cautiously in situations where no alternative therapeutic options are available.^[79]

- **3.2. History of Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer:** Although historic data reports an association of increased *de novo* NMSC risk, current evidence suggests that TNF inhibitors and non-TNF bDMARDs do not increase recurrence risk (4.43, 4.5).^[56,71-77,80] JAK inhibitors and abatacept may be used with caution if therapeutic alternatives are unavailable. Data regarding methotrexate and leflunomide are insufficient, whereas sulfasalazine and hydroxychloroquine are considered safe (4.75).^[66,78,81] These patients should undergo regular skin examinations every 6-12 months (4.81).^[66]

- **3.3. History of Melanoma:** Given melanoma's strong dependence on immune surveillance and the lack of sufficient data, a more cautious approach is warranted in patients with a history of melanoma, who should be considered separately from the general recommendations for TNF inhibitors in patients with a history of solid cancers.^[79]

- **3.4. History of Lymphoproliferative Disease:** Rituximab may be the preferred first-line option in this patient group, as it does not increase the risk of recurrence (4.81).^[66,68-70,73,76,82] Tocilizumab and abatacept also do not increase recurrence risk and may be preferred over TNF inhibitors (4.4).^[66,82-84] There is no evidence that TNF inhibitor use increases the risk (4.43).^[72-77] Although data for methotrexate and leflunomide are limited, they do not suggest an increased risk of recurrence (4.68).^[66,82,78]

Section 4. Management of Patients Who Develop Malignancy During Active Treatment (PICO 5)

This section addresses the management of patients diagnosed with malignancy while on active anti-rheumatic therapy.

- **4.1. General Approach:**

- **Malignancy Development on csDMARDs:** Treatment is personalized. Generally, agents with lower immunosuppressive potential, such as hydroxychloroquine and sulfasalazine, are preferred (4.5).^[85-90] In cases of lymphoproliferative disease, discontinuation of methotrexate and leflunomide should be considered (4.5).^[91,92]

- **Malignancy Development on b/tsDMARDs:** Although the level of evidence is insufficient, the standard approach in routine practice is to discontinue these agents due to the risk of potential drug interactions and toxicity (4.68).^[93,94]

- **4.2. RA Management During Active Cancer Treatment:** If RA activity persists, glucocorticoids and/or csDMARDs are the preferred initial choice (4.81, 4.43).^[85-90] If these treatments are inadequate, a bDMARD (TNF inhibitor or rituximab) may be initiated on a case-by-case basis in collaboration with an oncologist (4.43).^[57,93,95-97]

- **4.3. Special Situation: Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors (ICIs):** ICI therapy can exacerbate RA.^[98] If steroids and csDMARDs are insufficient for flares, TNF inhibitors or IL-6 inhibitors may be used.^[96,97] Abatacept should be avoided due to concerns that it may negatively affect the anti-tumor response of ICIs.^[93]

- **4.4. Palliative Patients:** In palliative patients, quality of life is paramount, and treatment is personalized in collaboration with an oncologist. Methotrexate is not recommended due to its potential hematologic toxicity (4.62).^[86]

Section 5. Treatment Management in Special Situations (PICO 6&7)

This section examines the management of patients with premalignant lesions or a family history of cancer.

- **5.1. Approach in the Presence of Premalignant Lesions (PICO 6):** A strategy of proactive monitoring and early intervention should be adopted for these patients (4.81). Evidence is insufficient to suggest that medications increase the development or progression of lesions (4.56, 4.5, 4.62).^[98,99] although it has been reported that TNF inhibitors may increase the risk of *in situ* squamous cell carcinoma (4.25).^[100] It is recommended to eliminate the lesion before starting therapy. If this is not possible, options other than JAK inhibitors and abatacept may be prioritized (4.5).^[101]

- **5.2. Approach in the Presence of a Family History of Malignancy (PICO 7):** More rigorous surveillance programs are recommended for these patients instead of standard screening programs (4.75). There is no evidence that immunosuppressive therapies further increase this risk (4.56). However, the decision to use bDMARDs and tsDMARDs requires a careful risk-benefit analysis (4.56).

Discussion

In this study, the task force examined the complex relationship between IA and malignancy through seven main PICO questions, culminating in an evidence-based

consensus report. This report offers a comprehensive framework, starting from the baseline malignancy risk in inflammatory arthritis, to the impact of anti-rheumatic therapies on *de novo* cancer risk, the management of patients with a history of cancer or an active cancer, and special situations such as premalignant lesions and family history.

The core themes of this work include: (a) the necessity of individualizing risk for each patient, (b) the importance of striking a balance between the risks of undertreated rheumatic disease and the potential risks of anti-rheumatic therapy, and (c) the principle that treatment decisions should be made through a process of shared decision-making between the patient and clinician. Furthermore, the recognition that chronic inflammation itself is a risk factor and that effective disease control can mitigate this risk is another crucial element underpinning all recommendations.

The findings from this systematic review have confirmed several key points. Patients with RA have an increased risk of lymphoma, particularly associated with high disease activity. Regarding treatments, csDMARDs and bDMARDs may slightly increase the risk of NMSC. Among the tsDMARDs, the ORAL Surveillance trial highlighted a specific risk increase (lung cancer) with JAK inhibitors in high-risk patients (advanced age, smoking history), emphasizing the need for careful patient selection when using these agents.

These recommendations, although based on the best available evidence, should be interpreted with consideration for several important limitations in the literature. First, the vast majority of available data is derived from RA patients. The data for the SpA and other IA subtypes are more limited. Second, long-term safety data for b/tsDMARDs other than TNF inhibitors remain insufficient. A third and major limitation is that the median follow-up times in studies are often short (e.g., <5 years), which may not be long enough to detect late recurrences of some solid organ cancers. Finally, most studies do not differentiate between a new primary cancer and a recurrence of an existing cancer, and they often do not report oncologic outcomes such as survival. These factors underscore the need for clinician caution, especially concerning newer agents and rare cancer types, and highlight the necessity for more observational studies in this field.

This study provides several important messages for clinical practice. The approach to treatment in patients, with a history of cancer, has shifted away from the previously held, more rigid stance of avoiding all targeted therapies. There is growing evidence that many DMARDs, particularly TNF inhibitors—supported by the largest available dataset—do not increase the risk of cancer recurrence, especially in patients with a history of a solid organ tumor. For patients

with a history of lymphoma, the consensus has solidified, indicating that rituximab, which causes B-cell depletion, is a rational choice for both its rheumatologic efficacy and oncologic safety profile. For JAK inhibitors and abatacept, due to a lack of direct evidence and reliance on indirect data, the prevailing cautious approach is to limit their use to situations where other therapeutic alternatives are not available, especially in patients with a history of cancer or premalignant lesions.

The scenario where malignancy develops during active treatment represents one of the areas with the weakest evidence base. In this situation, our recommendation to discontinue b/tsDMARDs is not based on definitive evidence but rather represents a pragmatic, safety-first approach aimed at avoiding potential drug interactions and unforeseen risks. The management of such complex cases requires close collaboration between the rheumatologist and the oncologist.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this consensus report provides a comprehensive, practical, and evidence-based framework to guide rheumatologists in Türkiye through the complex intersection of IA and malignancy. Its goal is to standardize clinical decision-making, enhance clinical safety, and ultimately achieve the optimal treatment balance for patients.

Footnotes

Authorship Contributions

Concept: H.B., G.S., E.T., P.A.D., S.Ç., İ.V., B.Ö.U., D.B.G., R.Y., N.Ş.Y.B., T.K., B.B., İ.E., Ö.D.A., G.G.Ö., C.B., E.D.E., Design: H.B., G.S., E.T., P.A.D., S.Ç., İ.V., B.Ö.U., D.B.G., R.Y., N.Ş.Y.B., T.K., B.B., İ.E., Ö.D.A., G.G.Ö., C.B., E.D.E., Data Collection and Processing: H.B., G.S., E.T., P.A.D., S.Ç., İ.V., B.Ö.U., D.B.G., R.Y., N.Ş.Y.B., T.K., B.B., İ.E., Ö.D.A., G.G.Ö., C.B., E.D.E., Analysis or Interpretation: H.B., G.S., E.T., P.A.D., S.Ç., İ.V., B.Ö.U., D.B.G., R.Y., N.Ş.Y.B., T.K., B.B., İ.E., Ö.D.A., G.G.Ö., C.B., E.D.E., Literature Search: H.B., G.S., E.T., P.A.D., S.Ç., İ.V., B.Ö.U., D.B.G., R.Y., N.Ş.Y.B., T.K., B.B., İ.E., Ö.D.A., G.G.Ö., C.B., E.D.E., Writing: H.B., G.S., E.T., P.A.D., S.Ç., İ.V., B.Ö.U., D.B.G., R.Y., N.Ş.Y.B., T.K., B.B., İ.E., Ö.D.A., G.G.Ö., C.B., E.D.E.

Conflict of Interest: Hakan Babaoğlu MD, İhsan Ertenli MD, Timuçin Kaşifoğlu MD, is section editors in Journal of Turkish Society for Rheumatology. They had no involvement in the peer-review of this article and had no access to information regarding its peer-review.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare that they have no relevant financial disclosures.

References

1. Li Q, Zhou L, Xia D, Wang J. Rheumatoid arthritis reduces the risk of colorectal cancer through immune inflammation mediation. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2024;28:e18515.
2. Beydon M, Pinto S, De Rycke Y, et al. Risk of cancer for patients with rheumatoid arthritis versus general population: a national claims database cohort study. *Lancet Reg Health Eur*. 2023;35:100768.
3. Wang F, Palmer N, Fox K, Liao KP, Yu KH, Kou SC. Large-scale real-world data analyses of cancer risks among patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Int J Cancer*. 2023;153:1139-50.
4. Mercer LK, Davies R, Galloway JB, et al. Risk of cancer in patients receiving non-biologic disease-modifying therapy for rheumatoid arthritis compared with the UK general population. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2013;52:91-8.
5. Hemminki K, Li X, Sundquist K, Sundquist J. Cancer risk in hospitalized rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2008;47:698-701.
6. Kauppi M, Pukkala E, Isomäki H. Low incidence of colorectal cancer in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 1996;14:551-3.
7. Yang TO, Floud S, Reeves GK. Rheumatoid arthritis and cancer risk in the million women study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2024;53:dyae006.
8. Luo X, He Y, Xu W, et al. The risk of leukemia in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2021;40:1283-9.
9. Hellgren K, Baecklund E, Backlin C, Sundstrom C, Smedby KE, Askling J. Rheumatoid arthritis and risk of malignant lymphoma: is the risk still increased? *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2017;69:700-8.
10. Hashimoto A, Chiba N, Tsuno H, et al. Incidence of malignancy and the risk of lymphoma in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared to the general population. *J Rheumatol*. 2015;42:564-71.
11. Huang WK, Chiou MJ, Kuo CF, Lin YC, Yu KH, See LC. No overall increased risk of cancer in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a nationwide dynamic cohort study in Taiwan. *Rheumatol Int*. 2014;34:1379-86.
12. Wolfe F, Michaud K. Lymphoma in rheumatoid arthritis: the effect of methotrexate and anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy in 18,572 patients. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004;50:1740-51.
13. Wolfe F, Michaud K. The effect of methotrexate and anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy on the risk of lymphoma in rheumatoid arthritis in 19,562 patients during 89,710 person-years of observation. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2007;56:1433-9.
14. Baecklund E, Iliadou A, Askling J, et al. Association of chronic inflammation, not its treatment, with increased lymphoma risk in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006;54:692-701.
15. Kauppi M, Pukkala E, Isomäki H. Elevated incidence of hematologic malignancies in patients with Sjögren's syndrome compared with patients with rheumatoid arthritis (Finland). *Cancer Causes Control*. 1997;8:201-4.
16. Gridley G, McLaughlin JK, Ekblom A, et al. Incidence of cancer among patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1993;85:307-11.
17. Cho MH, Cho JH, Eun Y, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis and risk of lung cancer: a nationwide cohort study. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2024;19:216-26.
18. Chatzidionysiou K, di Giuseppe D, Soderling J, Catrina A, Askling J. Risk of lung cancer in rheumatoid arthritis and in relation to autoantibody positivity and smoking. *RMD Open*. 2022;8:e002465.
19. Choi HG, Kang HS, Lim H, et al. Potential cancer risk in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a longitudinal Korean population-based analysis. *J Pers Med*. 2022;12:965.
20. Wu X, Peng H, Wen Y, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis and risk of lung cancer: meta-analysis and Mendelian randomization study. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2021;51:565-75.
21. Wadström H, Pettersson A, Smedby KE, Askling J. Risk of breast cancer before and after rheumatoid arthritis, and the impact of hormonal factors. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2020;79:581-6.
22. Tian G, Liang JN, Wang ZY, Zhou D. Breast cancer risk in rheumatoid arthritis: an update meta-analysis. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:453012.
23. Wheeler AM, Roul P, Yang Y, et al. Risk of prostate cancer in US veterans with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2023;75:785-92.
24. Fanto M, Peragallo MS, Pietrosanti M, et al. Risk of malignancy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis under immunosuppressive therapy: a single-center experience. *Intern Emerg Med*. 2016;11:31-40.
25. Bautista-Molano W, Landewe R, Burgos-Vargas R, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and risk factors for comorbidities in patients with spondyloarthritis in Latin America: a comparative study with the general population and data from the ASAS-COMOSPA study. *J Rheumatol*. 2018;45:206-12.
26. Kely E, Raymond W, Inderjeeth C, Keen H, Nossent J, Preen DB. Cancer diagnosis and mortality in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: A Western Australian retrospective cohort study. *Int J Rheum Dis*. 2021;24:216-22.
27. Hellgren K, Dreyer L, Arkema EV, et al. Cancer risk in patients with spondyloarthritis treated with TNF inhibitors: a collaborative study from the ARTIS and DANBIO registers. *Ann Rheum Dis*. Jan 2017;76:105-11.
28. Brown LM, Gridley G, Check D, Landgren O. Risk of multiple myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance among white and black male United States veterans with prior autoimmune, infectious, inflammatory, and allergic disorders. *Blood*. 2008;111:3388-94.
29. Lindqvist EK, Goldin LR, Landgren O, et al. Personal and family history of immune-related conditions increase the risk of plasma cell disorders: a population-based study. *Blood*. 2011;118:6284-91.
30. Deng C, Li W, Fei Y, Li Y, Zhang F. Risk of malignancy in ankylosing spondylitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:32063.
31. Feltelius N, Ekblom A, Blomqvist P. Cancer incidence among patients with ankylosing spondylitis in Sweden 1965-95: a population based cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2003;62:1185-8.
32. Hemminki K, Liu X, Forsti A, Ji J, Sundquist J, Sundquist K. Effect of autoimmune diseases on incidence and survival in subsequent multiple myeloma. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2012;5:59.
33. Chang CC, Chang CW, Nguyen PA, et al. Ankylosing spondylitis and the risk of cancer. *Oncol Lett*. 2017;14:1315-22.

34. Alehashemi S, Ward MM. Risk of hematologic malignancies in elderly patients with ankylosing spondylitis: a cohort study and systematic review. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2023;98:100-10.
35. Chan TM, Luo SF, Yu KH, See LC, Huang LH, Kuo CF. Risk of cancer in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: a nationwide cohort study in Taiwan. *Scand J Rheumatol.* 2021;50:132-8.
36. Dommasch ED, Abuabara K, Shin DB, Nguyen J, Troxel AB, Gelfand JM. The risk of infection and malignancy with tumor necrosis factor antagonists in adults with psoriatic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2011;64:1035-50.
37. Kaine J, Song X, Kim G, Hur P, Palmer JB. Higher incidence rates of comorbidities in patients with psoriatic arthritis compared with the general population using U.S. Administrative claims data. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm.* 2019;25:122-32.
38. Rohekar S, Tom BD, Hassa A, Schentag CT, Farewell VT, Gladman DD. Prevalence of malignancy in psoriatic arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;58:82-7.
39. Egeberg A, Thyssen JP, Gislason GH, Skov L. Skin cancer in patients with psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2016;30:1349-53.
40. Luo X, Deng C, Fei Y, et al. Malignancy development risk in psoriatic arthritis patients undergoing treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum.* 2019;48:626-31.
41. Fagerli KM, Kearsley-Fleet L, Mercer LK, et al. Malignancy and mortality rates in patients with severe psoriatic arthritis requiring tumour-necrosis factor alpha inhibition: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2019;58:80-5.
42. Krzysztolik M, Brzewski P, Cuber P, et al. Risk of melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer in patients with psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis treated with targeted therapies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel).* 2023;17:14.
43. Jess T, Rungoe C, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Risk of colorectal cancer in patients with ulcerative colitis: a meta-analysis of population-based cohort studies. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2012;10:639-45.
44. Jess T, Gamborg M, Matzen P, Munkholm P, Sorensen TI. Increased risk of intestinal cancer in Crohn's disease: a meta-analysis of population-based cohort studies. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2005;100:2724-9.
45. Laukoetter MG, Mennigen R, Hannig CM, et al. Intestinal cancer risk in Crohn's disease: a meta-analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2011;15:576-83.
46. Axelrad JE, Olen O, Sachs MC, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease and risk of small bowel cancer: a binational population-based cohort study from Denmark and Sweden. *Gut.* 2021;70:297-308.
47. Trivedi PJ, Crothers H, Mytton J, et al. Effects of primary sclerosing cholangitis on risks of cancer and death in people with inflammatory bowel disease, based on sex, race, and age. *Gastroenterology.* 2020;159:915-28.
48. Dore RK, Antonova JN, Burudpakdee C, Chang L, Gorritz M, Genovese MC. The incidence, prevalence, and associated costs of anemia, malignancy, venous thromboembolism, major adverse cardiovascular events, and infections in rheumatoid arthritis patients by treatment history in the United States. *ACR Open Rheumatol.* 2022;4:473-82.
49. Harigai M, Tanaka E, Inoue E, et al. Incidence of malignancies and the association with biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a time-dependent analysis from the IORRA patient registry. *Rheumatol Ther.* 2024;11:1181-95.
50. Hellgren K, Di Giuseppe D, Smedby KE, et al. Lymphoma risks in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with biological drugs—a Swedish cohort study of risks by time, drug and lymphoma subtype. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2021;60:809-19.
51. Askling J, Baecklund E, Granath F, et al. Anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and risk of malignant lymphomas: relative risks and time trends in the Swedish Biologics Register. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2009;68:648-53.
52. Mercer LK, Green AC, Galloway JB, et al. The influence of anti-TNF therapy upon incidence of keratinocyte skin cancer in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: longitudinal results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2012;71:869-74.
53. Amari W, Zeringue AL, McDonald JR, Caplan L, Eisen SA, Ranganathan P. Risk of non-melanoma skin cancer in a national cohort of veterans with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2011;50:1431-9.
54. Carmona L, Abasolo L, Descalzo MA, et al. Cancer in patients with rheumatic diseases exposed to TNF antagonists. *Semin Arthritis Rheum.* 2011;41:71-80.
55. Askling J, van Vollenhoven RF, Granath F, et al. Cancer risk in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha therapies: does the risk change with the time since start of treatment? *Arthritis Rheum.* 2009;60:3180-9.
56. Strangfeld A, Hierse F, Rau R, et al. Risk of incident or recurrent malignancies among patients with rheumatoid arthritis exposed to biologic therapy in the German biologics register RABBIT. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2010;12:R5.
57. Holroyd CR, Seth R, Bukhari M, et al. The British Society for Rheumatology biologic DMARD safety guidelines in inflammatory arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2019;58:e3-42. Erratum in: *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2019;58:372.
58. Staples MP, March L, Hill C, Lassere M, Buchbinder R. Malignancy risk in Australian rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy: an update from the Australian Rheumatology Association Database (ARAD) prospective cohort study. *BMC Rheumatol.* 2019;3:1.
59. Curtis JR, Yamaoka K, Chen YH, et al. Malignancy risk with tofacitinib versus TNF inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis: results from the open-label, randomised controlled ORAL Surveillance trial. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2023;82:331-43.
60. Song YJ, Cho SK, You SH, et al. Association between malignancy risk and Janus kinase inhibitors versus tumour necrosis factor inhibitors in Korean patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a nationwide population-based study. *RMD Open.* 2022;8:e002614.
61. Westermann R, Cordtz RL, Duch K, et al. Cancer risk with tocilizumab/sarilumab, abatacept, and rituximab treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a Danish cohort study. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2025;64:1019-28.
62. Huss V, Bower H, Wadström H, Frisell T, Askling J; ARTIS group. Short- and longer-term cancer risks with biologic and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs as used against rheumatoid arthritis in clinical practice. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2022;61:1810-8.
63. Pallavicini FB, Caporali R, Sarzi-Puttini P, et al. Tumour necrosis factor antagonist therapy and cancer development: analysis of the LORHEN registry. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2010;9:175-80.

64. Mariette X, Tubach F, Bagheri H, et al. Lymphoma in patients treated with anti-TNF: results of the 3-year prospective French RATIO registry. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2010;69:400-8.
65. Huss V, Bower H, Hellgren K, et al. Cancer risks with JAKi and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or psoriatic arthritis: a national real-world cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2023;82:911-9.
66. Wong PKK, Bagga H, Barrett C, et al. A Practical approach to the use of conventional synthetic, biologic and targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs for the treatment of inflammatory arthritis in patients with a history of malignancy. *Curr Rheumatol Rep*. 2018;20:64.
67. Conti F, Atzeni F, Massaro L, et al. The influence of comorbidities on the efficacy of tumour necrosis factor inhibitors, and the effect of tumour necrosis factor inhibitors on comorbidities in rheumatoid arthritis: report from a National Consensus Conference. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2018;57(57 Suppl 7):vii11-22.
68. Slimani S, Lukas C, Combe B, Morel J. Rituximab in rheumatoid arthritis and the risk of malignancies: report from a French cohort. *Joint Bone Spine*. 2011;78:484-7.
69. Regierer AC, Strangfeld A. Rheumatoid arthritis treatment in patients with a history of cancer. *Curr Opin Rheumatol*. 2018;30:288-94.
70. Tekgoz E, Colak S, Yardimci KG, et al. Physicians' biological drug preference in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and spondyloarthritis with a history of malignancy: perspectives from the treasure database. *J Clin Rheumatol*. 2022;28:e318-23.
71. Wetzman A, Lukas C, Gaujoux-Viala C, et al. Risk of cancer after initiation of targeted therapies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and a prior cancer: systematic review with meta-analysis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2023;75:260-71.
72. Chen Y, Friedman M, Liu G, Deodhar A, Chu CQ. Do tumor necrosis factor inhibitors increase cancer risk in patients with chronic immune-mediated inflammatory disorders? *Cytokine*. 2018;101:78-88.
73. Dreyer L, Cordtz RL, Hansen IMJ, Kristensen LE, Hetland ML, Møllemlkjær L. Risk of second malignant neoplasm and mortality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with biological DMARDs: a Danish population-based cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2018;77:510-4.
74. Gupta A, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Ananthakrishnan AN. Risk of cancer recurrence in patients with immune-mediated diseases with use of immunosuppressive therapies: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2024;22:499-512.e6.
75. Xie W, Xiao S, Huang Y, et al. A meta-analysis of biologic therapies on risk of new or recurrent cancer in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and a prior malignancy. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2020;59:930-9.
76. Silva-Fernández L, Lunt M, Kearsley-Fleet L, et al. The incidence of cancer in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and a prior malignancy who receive TNF inhibitors or rituximab: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register-Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2016;55:2033-9.
77. Dixon WG, Watson KD, Lunt M, et al. Influence of anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy on cancer incidence in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who have had a prior malignancy: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2010;62:755-63..
78. Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges SL Jr, et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2016;68:1-25.
79. Sebbag E, Lauper K, Molina-Collada J, et al. 2024 EULAR points to consider on the initiation of targeted therapies in patients with inflammatory arthritis and a history of cancer. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2024;ard-2024-225982.
80. Raaschou P, Simard JF, Asker Hagelberg C, Askling J; ARTIS Study Group. Rheumatoid arthritis, anti-tumour necrosis factor treatment, and risk of squamous cell and basal cell skin cancer: cohort study based on nationwide prospectively recorded data from Sweden. *BMJ*. 2016;352:i262.
81. Scott FI, Mamtani R, Brensinger CM, et al. Risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer associated with the use of immunosuppressant and biologic agents in patients with a history of autoimmune disease and nonmelanoma skin cancer. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2016;152:164-72.
82. Lopez-Olivo MA, Colmegna I, Karpes Matusevich AR, et al. Systematic review of recommendations on the use of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and cancer. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2020;72:309-18.
83. Kunishita Y, Ichikawa K, Uzawa Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of abatacept in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with previous malignancy. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis*. 2023;15:1759720X231186874.
84. Nakano K, Tanaka Y, Saito K, et al. Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis after regression of lymphoproliferative disorders in patients treated with methotrexate: a retrospective, multi-center descriptive study. *Mod Rheumatol*. 2022;32:41-9.
85. Sonomoto K, Tanaka Y. Malignancies and rheumatoid arthritis, csDMARDs, biological DMARDs, and JAK inhibitors: challenge and outlook. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol*. 2023;19:1325-42.
86. Kleinert S, Waldner M, Wendler J, Kunzmann V. Basistherapie bei Patienten mit rheumatoider Arthritis und kolorektaler Tumoranamnese [Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in rheumatoid arthritis patients with a history of colorectal cancer]. *Z Rheumatol*. 2016;75:41-6.
87. Singh JA, Cameron DR. Summary of AHRQ's comparative effectiveness review of drug therapy for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in adults--an update. *J Manag Care Pharm*. 2012;18(4 Suppl C):S1-S18.
88. Wilton KM, Matteson EL. Malignancy incidence, management, and prevention in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol Ther*. 2017;4:333-47.
89. Lange E, Blizzard L, Venn A, Francis H, Jones G. Disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs and non-melanoma skin cancer in inflammatory arthritis patients: a retrospective cohort study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2016;55:1594-600.
90. Ramiro S, Sepriano A, Chatzidionysiou K, et al. Safety of synthetic and biological DMARDs: a systematic literature review informing the 2016 update of the EULAR recommendations for management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2017;76:1101-36.
91. De Cock D, Hyrich K. Malignancy and rheumatoid arthritis: epidemiology, risk factors and management. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2018;32:869-86.
92. Sepriano A, Kerschbaumer A, Smolen JS, et al. Safety of synthetic and biological DMARDs: a systematic literature review informing the 2019 update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2020;79:760-70.
93. Kostine M, Finckh A, Bingham CO, et al. EULAR points to consider for the diagnosis and management of rheumatic immune-related adverse events due to cancer immunotherapy with checkpoint inhibitors. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2021;80:36-48.

94. Sebbag E, Molina-Collada J, Ndoye R, et al. Systematic literature review and meta-analysis informing the EULAR points to consider on the initiation of targeted therapies in patients with inflammatory arthritis and a history of cancer. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2025;84:643-52.
95. McCarter KR, Arabelovic S, Wang X, et al. Immunomodulator use, risk factors and management of flares, and mortality for patients with pre-existing rheumatoid arthritis after immune checkpoint inhibitors for cancer. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2024;64:152335.
96. Bass AR, Abdel-Wahab N, Reid PD, et al. Comparative safety and effectiveness of TNF inhibitors, IL6 inhibitors and methotrexate for the treatment of immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2023;82:920-6.
97. Petit PF, Daoudlarian D, Latifyan S, et al. Tocilizumab provides dual benefits in treating immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated arthritis and preventing relapse during ICI rechallenge: the TAPIR study. *Ann Oncol*. 2025;36:43-53.
98. Garritsen FM, Verheijen RHM, Gerestein CG, et al. Is there an increased risk of cervical neoplasia in atopic dermatitis patients treated with oral immunosuppressive drugs?. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2018;32:271-5.
99. Cordtz R, Mellemkjær L, Glinborg B, Hetland ML, Dreyer L. Malignant progression of precancerous lesions of the uterine cervix following biological DMARD therapy in patients with arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2015;74:1479-80.
100. Adalsteinsson JA, Muzumdar S, Waldman R, et al. Anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy is associated with increased in situ squamous cell carcinoma of the skin: a population-based case-control study. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2021;84:1760-2.
101. Faganello D, Bertrand A, Meunier P, et al. Evolution of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance in patients treated with JAK inhibitors for rheumatic diseases: data from the MAJIK-SFR registry. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2024;63:787-90.